IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Review Petition No. 36 of 2004
In
Writ Petition No. 995 of 1999

Dr. Sandeep Gajanan Rane .. Petitioner
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. .. Respondents.

Petitioner in person present.
None present for the Respondents.

(In Chamber. At 2:35 pm.)

CORAM : S. RADHAKRISHNAN &
V.M. KANADE, JJ.
DATED : 15.09.2004.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the Petitioner-in-person. Inspite of notice, the Respondents are not present. In the above Writ Petition, though we have allowed the Writ Petition, granted even the relief of permanency and continuation in service with a direction to reinstatement, the Petitioner-in-person, however feels that he has not been heard properly in the above matter and that the above Judgment ought not to have been passed without giving him a fair hearing.

2. We are clearly of the view that we have sufficiently heard the Petitioner and passed the above Judgment and order however as the Petitioner is appearing in person and he feels that he ought to be heard more in the above matter, hence we recall our Judgment and order dated 22.4.2004 and restore the Writ Petition No. 995 of 1999 in the file of this Court for a hearing. The same shall be placed before

an appropriate bench for final hearing. We also make

it clear that the above Petition shall not be placed before a Bench, in which S. Radhakrishnan, J or V.M. Kanade, J. are members.

(S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(V.M. KANADE, J.)